

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

Jill Babcock, et al.

Plaintiff(s),

v.

Michigan, State of, et al.

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Case No. 22-12951

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENTS (Dkts. 61, 62, 63, 65, 66)

The Court has reviewed the following documents: Defendants' motions

(Dkts. 61, 62, 63, 65, 66). They should be stricken for the following reason(s):

☐ Missing or insufficient statement of non-concurrence. See LR 7.1(a).

☐ Over-length. See LR 7.1(d)(3).

☐ Wrong font size. See LR 5.1(a)(3).

☐ Missing required information (e.g., concise statement of issues, controlling or most appropriate authority, etc.). See LR 7.1(d)(2).

☐ Improperly formatted (e.g., single-spaced, improper margins, missing page numbers, etc.). See LR 5.1(a)(2).

☐ Does not comply with the Case Management Order in this case for the following reasons:

_____.

☒ Other: Defendants' motions do not contain the local rule certification required
by this Court's December 13, 2022 order (Dkt. 4).

Accordingly, the Court strikes the documents. Defendants shall file corrected documents that comply with all requirements on or before June 8, 2023.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 6, 2023
Detroit, Michigan

s/ Mark A. Goldsmith
MARK A. GOLDSMITH
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or First Class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on June 6, 2023.

s/ Karri Sandusky

Karri Sandusky
Case Manager